## Warsaw University of Technology

## COURSE OFFERED IN THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL

Code of the	4606-ES-00000CF-0217		Name of the course		rse	Polish			Jakość informacji w systemach teleinformatycznych		
course						Eng	inglish		Information Quality in ICT Systems		
Type of the course	specialized										
Course coordinator	Dr hab. inż. Marek Stawowy				Cou	urse teacher Dr hat		Dr hab.	. inż. Marek Stawowy		
Implementing unit	Faculty of Transport Scie			c disciplir ciplines*	ne /	Info Eng	Information and Communication Technology / Ci Engineering, Geodesy and Transport			Civil	
Level of education	Doctoral st	idies Sei		Semester	ster		Winter				
Language of the course	English										
Type of assessment	Hybrid assessment (test and student's work assessment)		Number of hours in a semester		urs in r	45			ECTS credits		4
Minimum number of participants	10		Maximum number of participants		12			Available for students (BSc, MSc)		<del>Yes/</del> No	
Type of classes		Lectu	Lecture		Auditory classe		Project classes		Laboratory	Seminar	
Number of hours	in a week	1		1					1		
	in a semester	15		15					15		

\* does not apply to the Researcher's Workshop

#### 1. Prerequisites

Systems modeling, the basis of information theory, a programming course

#### 2. Course objectives

The course aims to familiarize candidates with the modern approach to assessing the quality of information, in particular in ICT systems. Information quality assessment is the basis for the overall assessment of any information-based systems.

#### 3. Course content (separate for each type of classes)

Lecture

Historical outline of quality assessment. Essential Information Quality (IQ) information. International Organization for Standardization (ISO) standards for IQ and IQ assessment. Multilayer model of IQ - dimensions & features. Fundamental relationships between IQ and other parameters of ICT systems. Ways of estimating IQ. Uncertainty modelling for IQ assessment. Fuzzy logic, certainty factor (CF) of hypothesis, mathematical evidence theory, and rough sets as uncertainty ways for IQ calculation. ICT systems models for IQ assessment. General qualitative-IT model.

#### Auditory classes

Presentations prepared by students about quality dimensions and ways of assessing IQ. Sixteen quality dimensions and an attempt to determine their characteristics will be discussed. It will be describe the relationship between dimension features and IQ dimensions.

#### Laboratory

It will be five experiments with IQ assessment. Four exercises will be about calculation-independent objects with CF of hypothesis, mathematical theory of evidence, rough sets, and fuzzy rough sets (the coordinator's author's method). The last exercise will be about dependent objects IQ assessment.

# Warsaw University of Technology

Type of learning outcomes	Learning outcomes description	Reference to the learning outcomes of the WUT DS	Learning outcomes verification methods*		
Knowledge					
K01	Has theoretical knowledge of methods for assessing the quality of information for ICT systems.	SD_W2	Two or three task/question on the test. Over 50% proper answer gives positive assessment.		
K02	Knows the latest method of assessing the quality of information.	SD_W2	Two or three task/question on the test. Over 50% proper answer gives positive assessment.		
К03	He knows the main development trends and the methodology used to assess IQ in ICT systems	SD_W3	Two or three task/question on the test. Over 50% proper answer gives positive assessment.		
	Skills				
S01	He can observe experiments and draw conclusions based on these observations. Can assess the usefulness of the experiments performed.	SD_U2	Individual assessment of lab class reports. Over 50% of earned points give a positive evaluation.		
S02	Can discuss specialized issues related to IQ assessment within technical international research groups.	SD_U4	Individual assessment of presented problems and discussion. Over 50% of earned points give a positive evaluation.		
Social competences					
SC01					

\*Allowed learning outcomes verification methods: exam; oral exam; written test; oral test; project evaluation; report evaluation; presentation evaluation; active participation during classes; homework; tests

#### 5. Assessment criteria

Average: test grades, student presentation assessment, lab class work & reports assessment.

### 6. Literature

### Primary references:

[1] International Organization for Standardization ISO/IEC 8000-8. Information and Data Quality: Concepts and measuring.

[2] Stawowy, M. Method of Multilayer Modeling of Uncertainty in Estimating the Information Quality of ICT Systems in Transport; Publishing House Warsaw University of Technology: Warsaw, Poland, 2019 (published in polish – will be translated).

[3] Fisher C., Lauria E., Chengalur-Smith S., Wang R., (2011) Introduction to Information Quality. Bloomington: Authorhouse.

[4] Pawlak Z., (1981), Rough Sets. Research Report PAS, nr 431. Warsaw: Institute of Computer Science, Polish Academy of Sciences.

#### Secondary references:

[1] Massachusetts Institute of Technology Information Quality (MITIQ) Program. https://mitiq.mit.edu.

[2] International Organization for Standardization ISO/IEC 2382-28 2121272, 2121271 & 2123204.

[3] Heckerman D., (1992), The Certainty-Factor Model. W: (red.) S. Shapiro, Encyclopedia of Artificial Intelligence, Second Edition, s .131-138. New York: Wiley.

[4] Pawlak, Z. Rough Sets—A New Mathematical Method of Data Analysis; Institute of Computer Science, Polish Academy of Sci-ences: Warsaw, Poland, 1995.

[5] Stawowy M., Duer S., Perlicki K., Mrozek T., Harničárová M. Supporting Information Quality Management in Information and Communications Technology Systems with Uncertainty Modelling, Energies, vol. 16, nr 6, 2023, 2531, s. 1-18, DOI:10.3390/en16062531

[6] Stawowy M., Duer S., Paś J., Wawrzyński W. Determining Information Quality in ICT Systems, Energies, vol. 14, nr 17, 2021, s. 5549, DOI:10.3390/en14175549

[7] Stawowy M., Olchowik W., Rosiński A., Dąbrowski T. The Analysis and Modelling of the Quality of Information Acquired from Weather Station Sensors, Remote Sensing, vol. 13, nr 4, 2021, s. 1-18, DOI:10.3390/rs13040693

7. PhD student's workload necessary to achieve the learning outcomes**				
No.	Description	Number of hours		
1	Hours of scheduled instruction given by the academic teacher in the classroom	45		
2	Hours of consultations with the academic teacher, exams, tests, etc.	10		
3	Amount of time devoted to the preparation for classes, preparation of presentations, reports, projects, homework	25		
4	Amount of time devoted to the preparation for exams, test, assessments	30		
	110			
	4			
** 1 ECTS = 2E 20 hours of the DhD students work (2 ECTS = 60 hours 4 ECTS = 110 hours atc.)				

\*\* 1 ECTS = 25-30 hours of the PhD students work (2 ECTS = 60 hours; 4 ECTS = 110 hours, etc.)

8. Additional information	
Number of ECTS credits for classes requiring direct participation of academic teachers	2
Number of ECTS credits earned by a student in a practical course	2.5